



**Texas Department of Insurance**  
**Division of Workers' Compensation**  
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48  
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking #: M4-07-7224-01
KINDRED HOSPITAL DALLAS 9525 GREENVILLE AVE DALLAS TX 75243-4116	DWC Claim #:
	Injured Employee:
Respondent Name and Box #:	Date of Injury:
Texas Mutual Insurance Co. Box #: 54	Employer Name:
	Insurance Carrier #:

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "Services rendered of like kind are allowed by other payors at a reasonable and customary rate well above the rate of 8% applied by Texas Mutual. For this procedure specifically, Travelers representing Citigroup Inc. Texas Workers' Compensation program's allowable @ 84%, GAB Robins North America representing Transportation Technologies Group. Workers' Compensation program's allowable @ 60% and the US Department of Labor Texas Workers' Compensation program's allowable @ 100%... Additionally, Kindred Hospital Dallas is not an Ambulatory Surgical Center, we hold hospital license # 000028 and should be reimbursed a fair and reasonable rate for services rendered in accordance with the aforementioned TWCC Rule 134.1 (c)."

**Principal Documentation:**

1. DWC 60 Package
2. Medical Bills
3. EOBs
4. Total Amount Sought - \$6,864.17

### PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "It is this carrier's position that a) the requestor failed to produce any credible evidence that its billing for the disputed procedures is fair and reasonable; b) the requester failed to prove its usual and customary fees for the service in dispute is fair and reasonable are consistent with Section 413.011(b); c) this carrier's payment is consistent with fair and reasonable criteria established in Section 413.011(b) of the Texas Labor Code; and d) Medicare fair and reasonable reimbursement for similar or same services is below this carrier's."

**Principal Documentation:**

1. Response Package

### PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
1/20/2003	D, 60, M, O, YO, YM	Outpatient Surgery	\$6,864.17	\$0.00
			<b>Total Due:</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>

### PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on October 27, 2003. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule and received the requested additional information on December 4, 2003.

1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
  - D – Duplicate bill
  - 60 – THE PROVIDER HAS BILLED FOR THE EXACT SERVICES ON A PREVIOUS BILL.
  - O – Denial after reconsideration
  - YO – REIMBURSEMENT WAS REDUCED OR DENIED AFTER RECONSIDERATION OF TREATMENT/SERVICE BILLED.
  - M – No MAR
  - YM – THE REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE SERVICE RENDERED HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO BE FAIR AND REASONABLE BASED ON BILLING AND PAYMENT RESEARCH AND IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LABOR CODE 413.011 (D).
2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that “Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers’ Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission.”
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including “a copy of any pertinent medical records.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided medical records to support the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(B).
5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include “how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state how the submitted documentation supports the requestor’s position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv).
6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor’s position statement / rationale for increased reimbursement from the *Table of Disputed Services* states that “Services rendered of like kind are allowed by other payors at a reasonable and customary rate well above the rate of 8% applied by Texas Mutual. For this procedure specifically, Travelers representing Citigroup Inc. Texas Workers’ Compensation program’s allowable @ 84%, GAB Robins North America representing Transportation Technologies Group. Workers’ Compensation program’s allowable @ 60% and the US Department of Labor Texas Workers’ Compensation program’s allowable @ 100%... Additionally, Kindred Hospital Dallas is not an Ambulatory Surgical Center, we hold hospital license # 000028 and should be reimbursed a fair and reasonable rate for services rendered in accordance with the aforementioned TWCC Rule 134.1 (c).” However, the requestor did not articulate a methodology under which fair and reasonable reimbursement should be calculated.
  - Although the requestor asserts that “Services rendered of like kind are allowed by other payors at a reasonable and customary rate well above the rate of 8% applied by Texas Mutual;” a reimbursement rate based on a percentage of billed charges cannot be recommended. The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital’s billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* adoption preamble which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:

“A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources.”
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the amount sought would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement.

- In support of the requested reimbursement, the requestor submitted redacted explanations of benefits (EOBs) for services that are similar to the services in dispute. However, the requestor did not discuss or explain how the sample EOBs support the requestor's position that additional payment is due.
- The redacted EOBs indicate that payment was reduced based on the insurance carrier's fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology; however, none of the sample carriers' fair and reasonable reimbursement methodologies are described on the EOBs. Nor did the requestor explain or discuss the sample carriers' methodologies or how the payment amount was determined for each sample EOB.
- The requestor did not discuss or provide documentation to support whether such payment, as reflected in the sample EOBs, was typical for the services in dispute.
- The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would ensure the quality of medical care, achieve effective medical cost control, provide for payment that is not in excess of a fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living, consider the increased security of payment, or otherwise satisfy the requirements of Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) or Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

7. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(B), §133.307(g)(3)(C), and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311  
 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1  
 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

#### PART VII: DIVISION DECISION

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

#### DECISION:

**Grayson Richardson**

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Authorized Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

#### PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**